

Should we ration fashion?

In this essay, we argue for the implementation of rationing within the fashion industry, drawing parallels to its historical use during WWII and evaluating its potential effects in contemporary contexts. This argumentative essay delves into the concept of rationing, exploring its relevance in today's fashion landscape. We will examine three distinct approaches to rationing and provide compelling reasons to support our stance. To substantiate the arguments, we will present evidence from WWII rationing practices and analyze the current state of the fashion system. Through a comprehensive examination of historical precedents and contemporary realities, we assert whether rationing represents a viable solution to address the challenges facing the fashion industry today.

Fashion and clothing rationing during World War II emerged as a necessity to redirect resources towards the war effort, ensuring equitable distribution amid scarcity. It fostered a culture of resourcefulness, where individuals embraced creativity with limited materials, leading to practical and utilitarian clothing styles. Despite constraints, fashion remained integral, reflecting a collective commitment to the war. Post-war, rationing spurred a shift towards sustainable practices like "Make do and Mend," promoting longevity and community resilience. (*Make Do And Mend* n.d.)

There are numerous factors to consider when contemplating rationing within the current fashion industry. I believe that implementing rationing into today's fashion system would not be feasible as much as it was during WWII. Rationing proved to be an effective system during WWII due to a unique confluence of factors. The wartime spirit fostered public acceptance of shared sacrifice. With limited alternatives and strict enforcement, the system ensured people relied on rationed goods. (*Wartime Rationing During World War II and the Effect of Public Opinion in Great Britain and Austria - Inquiries Journal* n.d.). However, in today's landscape of abundant consumer choices, globalized supply chains, and the ease of black markets renders rationing a far less effective strategy.

Three types of rationing:

- **Mandatory upcycling;** This approach would require consumers to upcycle or repurpose their existing clothing before purchasing new items. It aims to reduce waste by encouraging the reuse of garments. Enforcing mandatory upcycling on manufacturers, producers, or fashion brands would require regulatory measures and oversight. Governments could implement policies mandating that companies incorporate upcycling practices into their production processes. This could involve setting quotas for the percentage of recycled or upcycled materials used in new clothing lines, as well as requiring brands to offer upcycling services or take-back programs for old garments. Compliance could be monitored through audits, inspections, and penalties for

non-compliance. Additionally, public awareness campaigns and incentives could encourage brands to voluntarily adopt upcycling initiatives as part of their corporate social responsibility efforts. (*The Role of Upcycling in Reducing Fashion Waste* n.d.)

- Carbon footprint allowance: Introducing carbon footprint allowances for fashion items, similar to carbon credits in other industries. Each garment would be assigned a certain carbon allowance based on its production process, materials used, and transportation footprint. According to the video *Tackling Climate Crisis: Do Personal Carbon Allowances Hold the Key?* (n.d.) personal carbon allowances might lead to more sustainable consumption. Consumers or manufacturers would be allocated a limited number of allowances per year, encouraging them to prioritize low-carbon and sustainable fashion choices. consumers could be assigned carbon credits for their fashion-related activities. They would need to use these credits when purchasing new clothing items, with penalties for exceeding their allotted carbon footprint.
- Resource based rationing: Limit production of new clothes based on material usage or environmental impact. Rationing fashion based on resource availability and environmental impact. Certain materials or resources used in fashion production, such as water, energy, or land, could be subject to allocation quotas or restrictions. This would encourage the adoption of resource-efficient production methods and the use of alternative, more sustainable materials. Consumers could be restricted in the amount of resources they can utilize for fashion consumption, such as water, cotton, or synthetic fibers. This could involve limits on the number of garments made from resource-intensive materials. Manufacturers could be allocated quotas for the use of certain materials, encouraging them to prioritize sustainable and eco-friendly options. This could include limits on the use of water-intensive fabrics like cotton or restrictions on the use of virgin synthetic fibers.

Firstly, mandatory upcycling initiatives aim to empower individuals with skills to repurpose clothing, but practical barriers and social inequalities may hinder widespread participation. Secondly, carbon footprint allowances seek to hold the fashion industry accountable for its environmental impact, but effectiveness depends on robust regulation and international cooperation. Thirdly, resource-based rationing strategies must mitigate unintended consequences and trade-offs, such as disrupting global supply chains and harming livelihoods. Additionally, while historical rationing during WWII offers insights, contemporary complexities of the fashion industry require tailored solutions.

Market Dynamics and Consumer Behavior: Rationing fashion in today's consumer-driven market may not effectively address the underlying issues of overconsumption and fast fashion. While rationing may temporarily reduce consumption, it fails to address the root causes of unsustainable production practices and consumer behavior driven by trends and advertising. Restricting access alone wouldn't address these underlying drivers. Consumers might shift to lower quality, unregulated substitutes readily available in black markets, negating any sustainability goals. (Mandarić et al. 2022)

Potential for Black Markets and Inequity: Rationing systems historically have led to the emergence of black markets and inequality, as those with means find ways to bypass restrictions, while marginalized communities suffer disproportionately. Implementing fashion rationing today could exacerbate social disparities and fail to achieve its sustainability goals. Historical examples showcase a worrying trend: rationing often leads to black markets where resourceful individuals exploit loopholes. This undermines the system and can disproportionately affect marginalized communities. Without a fair allocation system considering cultural needs and workwear requirements, rationing risks exacerbating social inequalities. The allocation of clothing vouchers or permits could become bureaucratic and prone to corruption. (*Black market: Rationing and the Black Market: Navigating Illicit Trade* n.d.)

Potential Economic Disruptions: Rationing could disrupt traditional economic models within the fashion industry, impacting profit margins, employment, and consumer affordability. Economic factors might lead to job losses in the fast-fashion industry but create opportunities in repair, upcycling, and sustainable garment production. Societal values could clash with ideas of individualism and self-expression through fashion; however, it could foster creativity in using existing garments and promote ethical consumerism. Black markets and counterfeiting may arise, potentially undermining the system and promoting unregulated production. Inequality and social division could occur if the rationing system fails to consider social needs for workwear, special occasions, or cultural expression. Bureaucracy and corruption might emerge as issues in the allocation of clothing vouchers or permits. Furthermore, rationing could lead to a loss of choice and individuality, stifling creativity and self-expression through fashion, potentially prompting resistance from consumers. Economic disruption is significant as the fashion industry employs a vast workforce, and reduced innovation may occur due to restrictions on production, hindering innovation in sustainable materials.

Rationing wouldn't lead to sustainable practices because while it restricts consumption, it doesn't address the core issues of fast fashion's unsustainability, such as overproduction, excessive use of virgin materials, lack of transparency in supply chains.

Better Solutions Exist: A more effective approach would be to promote transparency in supply chains. This would empower consumers to make informed choices about the clothes they buy. Additionally, incentivizing sustainable practices throughout the fashion industry and encouraging a shift in consumer behavior towards quality over quantity would lead to a more lasting impact.

In conclusion, rationing is a one size fits all solution for a wicked and complex problem. Its implementation in the fashion industry today presents significant hurdles. Reflecting from historical parallels with WWII rationing and examining contemporary approaches, we deduce that while rationing may have been successful in the past, its feasibility and benefits in the modern fashion system are arguable.

References

Australian War Memorial (2017) *Rationing of food and clothing during the Second World War*, *Awm.gov.au*, <https://www.awm.gov.au/articles/encyclopedia/homefront/rationing>.

Black market: Rationing and the Black Market: Navigating Illicit Trade (n.d.) *FasterCapital*, <https://fastercapital.com/content/Black-market--Rationing-and-the-Black-Market--Navigating-Illicit-Trade.html>.

Centobelli P, Abbate S, Nadeem SP and Reyes JAG - (2022a) 'Slowing the fast fashion industry: an all-round perspective', *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, 38(100684), doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.100684>.

—— (2022b) 'Slowing the fast fashion industry: an all-round perspective', *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, 38(100684), doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.100684>.

Clouting L (2018) *Fashion on the Ration: The story of clothing on the home front during World War Two – Museum Crush*, *Museumcrush.org*, <https://museumcrush.org/fashion-on-the-ration-the-story-of-clothing-on-the-home-front-during-world-war-two/>.

Conversation SS Monique Retamal and Taylor Brydges for the (2022) *To make our wardrobes sustainable, we must cut how many new clothes we buy by 75% | Samantha Sharpe, Monique Retamal, Taylor Brydges, the Guardian*, <https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2022/apr/13/to-make-our-wardrobes-sustainable-we-must-cut-how-many-new-clothes-we-buy-by-75>.

Designers, retailers sign petition to overhaul fashion calendar (n.d.) *Vogue Business*, <https://www.voguebusiness.com/fashion/designers-and-retailers-sign-petition-to-overhaul-the-fashion-calendar-dries-van-noten-altuzarra-lane-crawford>.

Dundas T (2021) *Fashion on the Ration: The Evolution of the Bikini, The National WWII Museum | New Orleans*, <https://www.nationalww2museum.org/war/articles/evolution-of-the-bikini-1946>.

Guardian staff reporter (2020) *Should we ration fashion? Lessons in sustainability from the second world war*, *the Guardian*,
<https://www.theguardian.com/fashion/2020/feb/24/should-we-ration-fashion-lessons-in-sustainability-from-the-second-world-war>.

Imperial War Museums (2018) *How Clothes Rationing Affected Fashion In The Second World War*, *Imperial War Museums*,
<https://www.iwm.org.uk/history/how-clothes-rationing-affected-fashion-in-the-second-world-war>.

Kennedy J (2023) *The Life Cycle of a Viral Fashion Trend*, *The Business of Fashion*,
<https://www.businessoffashion.com/articles/marketing-pr/the-life-cycle-of-a-viral-fashion-trend/>.

Make Do And Mend (n.d.) www.youtube.com,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f4RpJcVs1VI&t=3s>, accessed 3 April 2024.

Mandarić D, Hunjet A and Vuković D (2022) 'The Impact of Fashion Brand Sustainability on Consumer Purchasing Decisions', *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 15(4):1–17,
doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm15040176>.

McKinsey (2023) *The State of Fashion 2024: Finding pockets of growth as uncertainty reigns*, *McKinsey*, <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/state-of-fashion>.

Tackling Climate Crisis: Do Personal Carbon Allowances Hold the Key? (n.d.) www.youtube.com, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?app=desktop&v=INmKQCQi7hk>, accessed 3 April 2024.

The Role of Upcycling in Reducing Fashion Waste (n.d.) www.linkedin.com,
<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/role-upcycling-reducing-fashion-waste-scrapiy-xqkfc>, accessed 5 April 2024.

This is the final resting place of your cast-off clothing | *Aeon Videos* (n.d.) *Aeon*,
<https://aeon.co/videos/this-is-the-final-resting-place-of-your-cast-off-clothing>, accessed 3 April 2024.

Wartime Rationing During World War II and the Effect of Public Opinion in Great Britain and Austria - Inquiries Journal (n.d.) www.inquiriesjournal.com,
<http://www.inquiriesjournal.com/amp/339/wartime-rationing-during-world-war-ii-and-the-effect-of-public-opinion-in-great-britain-and-austria>, accessed 3 April 2024.

What to do about overconsumption? (2019) *Eco-Age*,
<https://eco-age.com/resources/wardrobe-crisis-podcast-kate-fletcher-overconsumption/>.